

Project *Sawmill Parkway Extension*

Location *Delaware County, Ohio*

Client *Delaware County Engineer*

Date *11.05.04*

Page *1* of *1*

EXHIBIT 5 - EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES

	CRITERIA									TOTAL	RANK
	Maximize Compatibility with Comprehensive Plans	Minimize impact on homes & businesses	Minimize environmental impacts	Avoid structures representing major capital investments	Minimize public cost	Public input (survey)					
CRITERIA IDENTIFICATION	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I		
CRITERIA WEIGHT	2	9	4	10	5	1					
<i>Corridor A</i>	4	2	3	3	2	4				82	7
	8	18	12	30	10	4					
<i>Corridor B</i>	2	4	4	3	3	3				104	1
	4	36	16	30	15	3					
<i>Corridor C</i>	2	2	1	3	2	2				68	11
	4	18	4	30	10	2					
<i>Corridor D</i>	1	1	3	3	3	1				69	10
	2	9	12	30	15	1					
ABCD	2	2	4	3	3	3				86	6
<i>A-1, B-2, B-3, B-4 H-1</i>	4	18	16	30	15	3					
ABCD	3	3	4	3	2	3				92	5
<i>A-1, B-2, C-2, AC H-2</i>	6	27	16	30	10	3					
ABCD	2	2	3	3	3	3				82	7
<i>A-1, B-2, B-3, D-2 H-3</i>	4	18	12	30	15	3					
ABCD	4	4	4	3	2	4				104	1
<i>B-1, A-2, AC H-4</i>	8	36	16	30	10	4					
ABCD	3	4	4	3	2	3				101	3
<i>B-1, B-2, C-2, AC H-5</i>	6	36	16	30	10	3					
ABCD	2	3	4	3	3	3				95	4
<i>B-1, B-2, B-3, D-2 H-6</i>	4	27	16	30	15	3					
ABCD	1	1	1	3	3	2				62	12
<i>CD, C-1, B-3, B-4 H-7</i>	2	9	4	30	15	2					
ABCD	1	1	1	3	2	2				57	13
<i>CD, C-1, B-3, D-2 H-8</i>	2	9	4	30	10	2					
ABCD	1	2	4	3	3	1				82	7
<i>CD, D-1, B-4 H-9</i>	2	18	16	30	15	1					



BURGESS & NIPLE

Excellent = 4

Fair = 2

Good = 3

Poor = 1